



Dean's Directive for Evaluation of Publication Activities in Doctoral Study at CTU FEE

Article 1 General Principles

1. Scientific, research and publication activities of PhD. students during their study period are evaluated by means of credits, according to the following rules.
2. The number of credits at 100 % contribution of the doctoral student in the activity is introduced in the table in Art. 2.
3. In case of co-authorship, the number of credits is proportional to student's share.
4. Student's share is the reciprocal of reduced number of authors. The reduced number of authors does not include supervisor, supervisor-specialist, and foreign co-authors.
5. Student's share can be changed by the Branch Board Chairman, on the basis of co-authors' statement.

Article 2 Table of Credit Evaluation

No.	Creative activity	Number of credits
1	An article in a WoS journal (M being a median value of the branch IF). It corresponds to 1/10 of points given to the publication by FEE, according to the Criteria for the evaluation of scientific and research activities at FEE	$1,5+10*IF/M$
2	A conference (report and publication in Proceedings), excerpted by WoS or SCOPUS, or classified in CORE Conference Rankings by A*, A, B grade	3
3	A conference (report only)	0
4	Another conference (report and publication in Proceedings)	1
5	An article in a peer-reviewed journal	3
6	A utility model	1
7	A national patent ¹ / European or US patent	4/8
8	An investigator of an internal research project	1
9	An investigator or co-investigator ² of an external research project	2
10	An investigator (co-investigator) of a foreign project	4
11	A citation in WoS or SCOPUS (not depending on the number of authors), neither direct, nor indirect auto-citations are taken into account.	2

¹ It is taken into account only once, even if the patent was granted in more than one country.

² A co-investigator is the person who manages the solution of the grant at the workplace in case the grant is provided by another workplace. This means that not every member of the team is a co-investigator.

**Article 3
Organization**

1. Student submits his/her application for awarding credits to the Branch Board Chairman before finishing his/her study block by means of their supervisor. The application must include a list of activities and an extent of his/her participation in case he/she was co-author, together with copies of publications. If the Chairman accepts the application, the credits are noted in the student's record.

**Article 4
Temporary and Final Provisions**

1. Students whose doctoral studies had started before the effective date of this directive can choose their publication activity evaluation according to this directive, or to the previous ones of the same name from November 1, 2010, November 1, 2014 or April 27, 2016 valid at the beginning of their studies.
2. The Branch Board for Study in a Doctoral Study Program (BBP) together with the Branch Board for Study Branches (BBB) serve as the Branch Board (BB) in the Doctoral Study Program P2612 Electrotechnics and Informatics divided into branches accredited before the effective date of the Higher Education Act No. 137/2016 Col. Amendment. Their activity and mutual competence are laid down in the Study and Examination Code (SEC).
3. This directive comes into effect on January 31, 2018.

prof. Ing. Pavel Ripka, CSc.
Dean